

MOYLE, FLANIGAN, KATZ, RAYMOND & SHEEHAN, P.A.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Telephone: (850) 681-3828
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788

Wellington Office
(561) 227-1560
West Palm Beach Office
(561) 659-7500

Vicki Gordon Kaufman
E-mail: vkaufman@moylelaw.com

September 9, 2005
Via Electronic Mail & US Mail

Ms. Blanca Bayo
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Re: Docket No. 000121A--TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

This letter is written on behalf of XO Communications Services, Inc. (XO) to protest the unilateral action of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) in ceasing remedy payments to XO under the Self Effectuating Enforcement Mechanism (SEEM) plan.

BellSouth recently informed XO that it would not receive a SEEM payment for July 2005. When questioned, XO was referred to the BellSouth PMAP Website, where BellSouth states that: "In accordance with the findings of the recently completed SQM/SEEM audit in Florida, BellSouth has made changes to the PARIS code as agreed upon by the PSC Staff and CLECs."

As a preliminary matter, XO has *not* agreed to the changes BellSouth references and is therefore puzzled by this inaccurate representation. XO's first knowledge regarding this matter was when it inquired of BellSouth as to the status of the remedy payments due to XO.

Further, BellSouth has provided no back up or audit information as to these unilateral adjustments stemming from alleged overpayments. Thus, it is impossible for XO to even begin to assess the accuracy or reliability of the alleged overpayment adjustments and whether or not they are in compliance with the SEEM plan. Before BellSouth can make "adjustments" in its remedy payments, the back up information regarding such "adjustments" must be provided and verified on a state by state basis.

Finally, it appears that BellSouth has implemented an unauthorized offset mechanism across the various BellSouth states. BellSouth has offset what it claims (with no back up provided) are overpayments in one state against amounts due in another state. XO is unaware of

Ms. Bayo
September 9, 2005
Page 2

any authorization for such a mechanism in the plan and requests that BellSouth be directed to cease this activity at once. *If* such overpayments are appropriately verified, any offset must be made only against future payment in the state where the verified overpayment actually occurred.

In addition, XO concurs with the comments and requests of CompSouth provided in its letter to Mr. Varner of September 8, 2005.

Sincerely,

s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman

Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Attorney for XO

Cc: Lisa Harvey
Robert Culpepper
Dana Shaffer
Parties of record